

**PATENT APPLICATION**

**RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR §1.116  
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER ART UNIT 2625**

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re the Application of

Hiroshi IIDA et al.

Group Art Unit: 2625

Application No.: 10/785,095

Examiner: D. DICKER

Filed: February 25, 2004

Docket No.: 118829

For: SERVICE PROCESSING SYSTEM, SERVICE PROCESSING METHOD AND  
SERVICE PROCESSING DEVICE

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION AFTER FINAL REJECTION**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In reply to the January 7, 2010 Office Action, reconsideration of the rejection and  
objections is respectfully requested in light of the following remarks.

Claims 1-7 and 16-28 are pending in this application.

Claims 23-28 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double  
patenting over claims 7 and 13 of U.S. Patent No. 7,254,743. Applicants respectfully traverse  
this rejection.

Claims 7 and 13 of U.S. Patent No. 7,254,743 are directed to the continued execution  
of a process in spite of an error occurring during the process and the re-execution of a process  
in the location of the same process. Claims 23-28 substantially recite the performing of  
"control such that when there is an error with respect to processing of the document data